(b) whether the cost of rehabilitation charge of about Rs. 1. 59 crores of the defective bucket wheel excavator as was supplied by the above named company to NLC has not yet been realised from M|s. MAN;

(c) if so, what are the details thereof;

<d) why the NLC had hurriedly made out the. payments to M[s. MAN of West Germany; and

(e) what immediate action is being ta ken to fix the responsibilities of misuse of company's funds and foreign exchange on all officers NLC responsible for such an act?

THE MINISTER OF ENERGY AND THE MINISTER OF STEEL AND MINES (SHRI VASANT SATHE); (a) ^The' advance amount of 10 per cent value of the contract was paid to M|s. MAN in January 1987.

(b) and (c) The_ matter is under discussion with M[s. MAN.

(d) Payment was made by NLC to M/s. MAN only 'after obtaining' the re quisite clearances.

(e) Does not arise.

Import of D-2 Aminobutanol

952. SHRIMATI RATAN KTJMARI: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether ft is a fact that his Ministry appointed a Committee about three years ago to investigate into the allegation of"imports of D-2 Aminobutanol under the aame of DL-2 Aminobutanol;

(b), if so, what are the names of the companies investigated;

(c) whether the committee has submitted its report;

(d) if so, when and What were the details of its findings;

(c) whether his Ministry has examined the report; _ ' -

(f) if so, what is the reaction of his. Ministry;

(g) whether it is a fact that no action has heen taken so far"; and, /

(h) if so, what are the reasons thereof • and by when necessary action against the companies will be initiated?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETRO-CHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY) (SHRI R. K. JAICHANDRA SINGH); (a) and (b) Yes, Sir. The names of the companies inspected by the Team are given below:

1. M|s. Lyphin Chemicals.
2. M|s. Dexo Labs.
3. M|s. Themis Chemicals,
4. M|s. Aarati Petro-Chemicals.
5. M|s. Lupin Labs. Ltd.
6. M|s. Cadila Labs. Pvt. Ltd.

(c) to (h) Technical Team constituted by the Government submitted its report on 5th September, 1984. The Team had come to the conclusion that there was *no pri-ma-facie* evidence to show that D-2 Aminobutanol had been imported in the guise of DL-2 Aminobutanol. ' The report has . been accepted by the Government.